

SHADOW FANCIAL REGULATORY COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

LAWRENCE CONNELL Co-Chair Attorney-at-Law

GEORGE G. KAUFMAN Co-Chair Loyola University of Chicago

RICHARD C. ASPINWALL Chase Manhattan Bank

GEORGE J. BENSTON Emory University

NEIL A. DOHERTY University of Pennsylvania

FRANKLIN R. EDWARDS Columbia University

RICHARD J. HERRING University of Pennsylvania

PAUL M. HORVITZ University of Houston

ROBERT E. LITAN
Brookings Institution

FRANCO MODIGLIANI Massachusetts Institute of Technology

> ETH E. SCOTT ord Law School

PETER J. WALLISON Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher

SUPPORTERS INCLUDE AMERICAN ENTERPRISE INSTITUTE

LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

SARAH SCAIFE FOUNDATION

Administrative Office c/o Professor George Kaufman Loyola University of Chicago 820 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60611 TEL (312) 915-7075 FAX (312) 915-8508

Statement No. 143

For information contact:

George J. Benston (404) 727-7831

George G. Kaufman (312) 915-7075

Statement of the Shadow Financial Regulatory Committee

on

Sweep Accounts and the Prohibition on Paying Interest on Reserve Balances and Demand Deposits

December 7, 1997

In its planning document prepared for the Government Performance and Results Act, the Federal Reserve Board notes that the use by banks of sweep accounts may complicate its implementation of monetary policy. The Committee finds no justification for this conclusion. Furthermore, it does believe that sweep accounts present a potential risk to the FDIC's insurance funds. To the extent that these accounts sweep demand deposits into overnight repurchase agreements, they strip the banks of their highest quality assets, e.g., Treasury securities. This is not in the best interest of the FDIC or the taxpayer.

Moreover, the Federal Reserve argues that the incentive for banks to offer sweep accounts would be reduced if Congress authorized the payment of interest on reserve balances. Although payment of interest on reserve balances is desirable, the Fed's reasoning is incorrect. The incentive of banks to offer their customers interest yielding sweep accounts comes from the prohibition of interest payments on demand deposits, not on bank reserves. Sweep accounts are an inefficient way of paying interest on business demand deposits. The prohibition of interest payments on demand deposits is a remnant of the Banking Act of 1933 that has long outlived any supposed usefulness, and should be repealed. Paying interest directly on demand deposits is both more efficient and safer for the banking system than encouraging bank use of sweep accounts.